2013-2014 School Progress Report

William C. Bryant School

Governance	District	Report Type	K-8 School
Address	6001 Cedar Ave.	Enrollment	450
	Philadelphia, PA 19143	Grade Range	K-8
Phone / Fax	215.471.2910 / 215.471.8379	Admissions Category	Neighborhood
Website	www.philasd.org/schools/bryant	Turnaround Model	Promise Academy


Welcome

The School Progress Report (SPR) provides parents, families, and community members with valuable information on the progress schools are making towards citywide goals. Each school receives a score and a corresponding performance tier at the overall and domain levels. Each school also receives two rankings: one within all schools of the same grade configuration (City Rank) and one within a peer group of schools with similar student demographics (Peer Rank). A school is designated a City Leader if it ranks first among all schools with the same grade configuration. A school is designated a Peer Leader if it ranks first in its peer group.

Scoring Summary

TIER:	■□□□ INTERVENE (0-24%)	□ ■ □ □ WATCH (25-49%)		REINFORCE (50-74)	%)	MODEL (75-100%)
			Score	Performance Tier	City Rank (Gap to Leader)	Peer Rank (Gap to Leader)
A scho	RALL ol's overall score represents its mance on the Achievement, Pr e & Career (for high schools or	ogress, Climate, and	22%	INTERVENE	97th of 126 (-63)	24th of 51 (-51)
The Ac	evement chievement domain measures produced assessments, including , ACCESS for ELLs, and reading	the PSSA, Keystone	8%	INTERVENE	111st of 126 (-80)	36th of 51 (-42)
assess	ress ogress domain measures grow ments and progress towards g s only).		36%	WATCH	54th of 126 (-55)	16th of 51 (-55)
	ate imate domain measures schoo irent/guardian engagement.	l climate and student	14%	INTERVENE	112nd of 126 (-86)	37th of 51 (-72)

Achievement



Achievement (Cont'd.)

Scored Range and Metric Score (♠) Percentage Earned **Points Earned** 26 • PSSA - Writing: **0.33** out of 3.00 **11**% % Proficient or Advanced PSSA - Writing: 0% **0.00** out of 0.75 % Advanced 25 ACCESS for ELLs: **Insufficient Sample** % 4.5 or Above



Progress

	Scored Range and Metric Score (•)	Percentage Earned	Points Earned
PSSA - Mathematics: Average Growth Index (AGI)	-2 4	56%	7.56 out of 13.50
PSSA - Reading: Average Growth Index (AGI)	-1 5	40%	5.40 out of 13.50
PSSA - Science (Grade 4): Average Growth Index (AGI)	-4	0%	0.00 out of 3.00
PSSA - Science (Grade 8): Average Growth Index (AGI)	-3 • -2 2	0%	0.00 out of 3.00
PSSA - Writing (Grade 5): Average Growth Index (AGI)	-2 2	42%	1.26 out of 3.00
PSSA - Writing (Grade 8): Average Growth Index (AGI)	-3 • -2 2	0%	0.00 out of 3.00
ACCESS for ELLs: % Growth in 75th Percentile or Above	Insuff	icient Sample ——	

Progress, on Equity

Students

Scored Range and Metric Score (◆)	Percentage Earned	Points Earned			
Insuff	cient Sample ——				
	————— Insuffi	Scored Range and Metric Score (♠) Percentage Earned Insufficient Sample Insufficient Sample			

TOTAL

14.22 out of 39.00

Climate



□ ■ □ □ WATCH (25-49%)

Educator Effectiveness

(4-point scale: 1.00 low to 4.00 high)

Teacher effectiveness measures are being described in the School Progress Report, but not included in the SPR rating, to share data we are gathering to monitor and support teacher practice. This information is also used to develop responsive and customized professional learning for teachers to ensure that all students have access to great teachers. Here are some important details to contextualize the teacher effectiveness data reported in the SPR.

- Teachers in a formal observation year who fail to receive a required observation receive a default observation score of 2 (Proficient) for any missing observation data, which may inflate the overall scores.
- Until district-wide, inter-rater reliability norming is held for all principals, observation scores may vary significantly across schools and observers. As a result, comparisons of scores between schools may not be meaningful.
- The district's observation tool evaluates 10 components of the Danielson Framework for Teaching. Therefore, comparing SDP's observation scores to scores evaluated against all 22 components of the Danielson Framework may not be meaningful.

