2015-2016 School Progress Report

Thomas G. Morton School

School Code: 138 Sector: District

Address: 2501 S. 63rd St., 19142

Phone/Fax: 215-727-2164 / 215-727-2341

Website: www.philasd.org/schools/morton

Report Type: Elementary School (K-5)

Peer Group: 1 Enrollment: 701

Admissions Category: Neighborhood

Turnaround Model: N/A

Performance Tiers

■ INTERVENE (0-24%)

WATCH (25-49%)

■ REINFORCE (50-74%)

MODEL (75-100%)

2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 CITY RANK PEER RANK

OVERALL: 43% WATCH

A school's overall score represents its combined performance on the Achievement, Progress, Climate, and College & Career (for high schools only) domains.



20th 3rd out of 60 out of 17

Achievement: 9% Intervene

The Achievement domain measures performance on standardized assessments, including PSSA, Keystone Exams, ACCESS for ELLs, and reading assessments.



41st 6th out of 17 out of 60

Progress: 70% Reinforce

The Progress domain measures growth on standardized assessments and progress towards graduation (for high schools only).



8th 1st out of 17 out of 59

Climate: 42% Watch

The Climate domain measures school climate and student and parent/guardian engagement.



39th out of 62

8th out of 18

Λ -	h:				4
Ac	ΠI	e١	/er	ne	Πt

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
% Reading at Grade Level - Grades K-2	34% (334 students)	0.66 out of 3.0 (22%)	INTERVENE
PSSA: English Language Arts			
% Proficient or Advanced	15% (284 students)	0.00 out of 9.0 (0%)	INTERVENE
% Advanced	1% (284 students)	0.08 out of 2.0 (4%)	INTERVENE
PSSA: Mathematics			
% Proficient or Advanced	5% (289 students)	0.00 out of 9.0 (0%)	INTERVENE
% Advanced	0% (289 students)	0.03 out of 2.0 (1%)	INTERVENE
PSSA: Science			
% Proficient or Advanced	29% (113 students)	0.35 out of 3.0 (12%)	INTERVENE
% Advanced	4% (113 students)	0.09 out of 0.5 (18%)	INTERVENE
ACCESS for ELLs: % 4.5 or Above	30% (81 students)	1.46 out of 1.5 (98%)	MODEL

Achievement Total:

9%

2.67 out of 30.0

INTERVENE

Progress				
METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER	
PSSA Mathematics Average Growth Index (AGI)	1.31	9.24 out of 12.0 (77%)	MODEL	
PSSA English Language Arts Average Growth Index (AGI)	1.66	10.64 out of 12.0 (89%)	MODEL	
PSSA Science (Grade 4) Average Growth Index (AGI)	-2.13	0.00 out of 5.0 (0%)	INTERVENE	
ACCESS for ELLs: % Growth in 60th Percentile or Abov	е	SEE NOTE FOR SY 2015-2016		
Progress, On Equi	ty			
PSSA Mathematics: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students	2.61	4.00 out of 4.0 (100%)	MODEL	
PSSA English Language Arts: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students	0.56	2.08 out of 4.0 (52%)	REINFORCE	
Progress Total:	70%	25.96 out of 37.0	REINFORCE	

^{*}Starting in School Year 2015-2016, the ACCESS assessment was revised. Growth estimates were not available and so this metric is being suppressed for School Year 2015-2016.

Climate

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
% of Students Attending 95% or More of Instructional Days	49% (764 students)	7.68 out of 12.0 (64%)	REINFORCE
% Attending 90% to 95% of Days	24% (764 students)	-	
% Attending 85% to 90% of Days	13% (764 students)	-	
% Attending 80% to 85% of Days	7% (764 students)	-	
% Attending Less than 80% of Days	7% (764 students)	-	
Annual Retention Rate	76% (661 students)	0.83 out of 10.0 (8%)	INTERVENE
% of Students with Zero n-School Suspensions	100% (764 students)	1.00 out of 1.0 (100%)	MODEL
% of Students with Zero Out-of-School Suspensions	91% (764 students)	1.52 out of 4.0 (38%)	WATCH
Student Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses)	56%	0.56 out of 1.0 (56%)	REINFORCE
Parent Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses)	76%	0.76 out of 1.0 (76%)	MODEL
Parent/Guardian Survey: Participation Rate	19%	0.23 out of 1.0 (23%)	INTERVENE
Climate Total:	42%	12.59	WATCH

out of 30.0

Educator Effectiveness

Teacher effectiveness measures are being described in the School Progress Report, but not included in the SPR rating, to share data we are gathering to monitor and support teacher practice. This information is also used to develop responsive and customized professional learning for teachers to ensure that all students have access to great teachers. Here are some important details to contextualize the teacher effectiveness data reported in the SPR.

- Teachers in a formal observation year who fail to receive a required observation receive a default observation score of 2 (Proficient) for any missing observation data, which may inflate the overall scores.
- Until district-wide inter-rater reliability norming is held for all principals, observation scores may vary significantly across schools and observers. As a result, comparisons of scores between schools may not be meaningful.
- The district's observation tool evaluates 10 components of the Danielson Framework for Teaching. Therefore, comparing SDPs observation scores to scores evaluated against all 22 components of the Danielson Framework may not be meaningful.

EDUCATOR EFFECTIVENESS INDICATORS

Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Effectiveness Rating of Distinguished (38 of 43 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	0%
Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Observation Score of Distinguished in Instruction (22 of 43 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	5%
Percentage of Teachers Attending 95% or More of Days	56%
Student Survey: Student Perception of Quality of Teacher Practice (% most positive responses)	58%