2015-2016 School Progress Report

Abram S. Jenks School

School Code: 252 Sector: District

Address: 2501 S. 13th St., 19148

Phone/Fax: 215-952-6224 / 215-952-6407

Website: www.philasd.org/schools/asjenks

Report Type: Elementary School (K-5)

Peer Group: 4 Enrollment: 320

Admissions Category: Neighborhood

Turnaround Model: N/A

Performance Tiers

■ INTERVENE (0-24%)

WATCH (25-49%)

■ REINFORCE (50-74%)

MODEL (75-100%)

2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 CITY RANK PEER RANK

OVERALL: 60% REINFORCE

A school's overall score represents its combined performance on the Achievement, Progress, Climate, and College & Career (for high schools only) domains.



6th 4th out of 60 out of 5

Achievement: 58% Reinforce


The Achievement domain measures performance on standardized assessments, including PSSA, Keystone Exams, ACCESS for ELLs, and reading assessments.



4th 4th out of 60 out of 5

Progress: 48% Watch

The Progress domain measures growth on standardized assessments and progress towards graduation (for high schools only).



17th 4th out of 59 out of 5

Climate: 73% Reinforce

The Climate domain measures school climate and student and parent/guardian engagement.



14th 5th out of 62 out of 5

Achievement			
METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
% Reading at Grade Level - Grades K-2	50% (175 students)	1.85 out of 3.0 (62%)	REINFORCE
PSSA: English Language Arts			
% Proficient or Advanced	61% (126 students)	4.62 out of 9.0 (51%)	REINFORCE
% Advanced	17% (126 students)	1.40 out of 2.0 (70%)	REINFORCE
PSSA: Mathematics			
% Proficient or Advanced	51% (126 students)	3.46 out of 9.0 (38%)	WATCH
% Advanced	22% (126 students)	1.78 out of 2.0 (89%)	MODEL
PSSA: Science			
% Proficient or Advanced	79% (48 students)	2.22 out of 3.0 (74%)	REINFORCE
% Advanced	40% (48 students)	0.50 out of 0.5 (100%)	MODEL
ACCESS for ELLs:	30%	1.46 out of 1.5	MODEL

58% **Achievement Total:**

% 4.5 or Above

(44 students)

17.29 out of 30.0

(97%)

REINFORCE

MODEL

Progress				
METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER	
PSSA Mathematics Average Growth Index (AGI)	2.57	12.00 out of 12.0 (100%)	MODEL	
PSSA English Language Arts Average Growth Index (AGI)	-0.53	1.88 out of 12.0 (16%)	INTERVENE	
PSSA Science (Grade 4) Average Growth Index (AGI)	-1.03	0.00 out of 5.0 (0%)	INTERVENE	
ACCESS for ELLs: % Growth in 60th Percentile or Above	ve	SEE NOTE FOR SY 2015-2016		
Progress, On Equ	ity			
PSSA Mathematics: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students		*	nsufficient Sample	
PSSA English Language Arts: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students		*	nsufficient Sample	
Progress Total:	48%	13.88 out of 29.0	WATCH	

^{*}Starting in School Year 2015-2016, the ACCESS assessment was revised. Growth estimates were not available and so this metric is being suppressed for School Year 2015-2016.

Climate

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
% of Students Attending 95% or More of Instructional Days	59% (334 students)	11.47 out of 12.0 (96%)	MODEL
% Attending 90% to 95% of Days	25% (334 students)	-	
% Attending 85% to 90% of Days	8% (334 students)	-	
% Attending 80% to 85% of Days	2% (334 students)	-	
% Attending Less than 80% of Days	5% (334 students)	-	
Annual Retention Rate	82% (298 students)	4.36 out of 10.0 (44%)	WATCH
% of Students with Zero n-School Suspensions	100% (334 students)	1.00 out of 1.0 (100%)	MODEL
% of Students with Zero Out-of-School Suspensions	97% (334 students)	3.20 out of 4.0 (80%)	MODEL
Student Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses)	69%	0.69 out of 1.0 (69%)	REINFORCE
Parent Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses)	86%	0.86 out of 1.0 (86%)	MODEL
Parent/Guardian Survey: Participation Rate	24%	0.35 out of 1.0 (35%)	WATCH

73%

Climate Total:

21.94

out of 30.0

REINFORCE

Educator Effectiveness

Teacher effectiveness measures are being described in the School Progress Report, but not included in the SPR rating, to share data we are gathering to monitor and support teacher practice. This information is also used to develop responsive and customized professional learning for teachers to ensure that all students have access to great teachers. Here are some important details to contextualize the teacher effectiveness data reported in the SPR.

- Teachers in a formal observation year who fail to receive a required observation receive a default observation score of 2 (Proficient) for any missing observation data, which may inflate the overall scores.
- Until district-wide inter-rater reliability norming is held for all principals, observation scores may vary significantly across schools and observers. As a result, comparisons of scores between schools may not be meaningful.
- The district's observation tool evaluates 10 components of the Danielson Framework for Teaching. Therefore, comparing SDPs observation scores to scores evaluated against all 22 components of the Danielson Framework may not be meaningful.

EDUCATOR EFFECTIVENESS INDICATORS

Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Effectiveness Rating of Distinguished (15 of 18 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	0%
Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Observation Score of Distinguished in Instruction (11 of 18 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	0%
Percentage of Teachers Attending 95% or More of Days	72%
Student Survey: Student Perception of Quality of Teacher Practice (% most positive responses)	78%