2015-2016 School Progress Report

George W. Sharswood School

School Code: 263 Sector: District

Address: 2300 S. 2nd St., 19148

Phone/Fax: 215-952-6212 / 215-952-6405 Website: www.philasd.org/schools/sharswood Report Type: K8 School (K-8)

Peer Group: 9 Enrollment: 541

Admissions Category: Neighborhood

Turnaround Model: N/A

Performance Tiers

■ INTERVENE (0-24%)

WATCH (25-49%)

■ REINFORCE (50-74%)

MODEL (75-100%)

2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 CITY RANK PEER RANK

OVERALL: 50% REINFORCE

A school's overall score represents its combined performance on the Achievement, Progress, Climate, and College & Career (for high schools only) domains.



38th 12th out of 138 out of 18

Achievement: 23% Intervene

The Achievement domain measures performance on standardized assessments, including PSSA, Keystone Exams, ACCESS for ELLs, and reading assessments.



40th 18th out of 138 out of 18

Progress: 70% Reinforce

The Progress domain measures growth on standardized assessments and progress towards graduation (for high schools only).



33rd 8th out of 132 out of 18

Climate: 52% Reinforce

The Climate domain measures school climate and student and parent/guardian engagement.



67th 17th out of 140 out of 18

Achievement Total:

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
% Reading at Grade Level Grades K-2	51% (167 students)	1.55 out of 3.0 (52%)	REINFORCE
SSA: English Language Arts			
% Proficient or Advanced	38% (331 students)	2.00 out of 9.0 (22%)	INTERVENE
% Advanced	8% (331 students)	0.63 out of 2.0 (31%)	WATCH
SSA: Mathematics			
% Proficient or Advanced	22% (332 students)	0.19 out of 9.0 (2%)	INTERVENE
% Advanced	3% (332 students)	0.24 out of 2.0 (12%)	INTERVENE
SSA: Science			
% Proficient or Advanced	39% (109 students)	0.73 out of 3.0 (24%)	INTERVENE
% Advanced	9% (109 students)	0.18 out of 0.5 (37%)	WATCH
ACCESS for ELLs: % 4.5 or Above	44% (52 students)	1.50 out of 1.5	MODEL

23%

7.02

out of 30.0

INTERVENE

Progress				
METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER	
PSSA Mathematics Average Growth Index (AGI)	1.32	9.28 out of 12.0 (77%)	MODEL	
PSSA English Language Arts Average Growth Index (AGI)	2.05	12.00 out of 12.0 (100%)	MODEL	
PSSA Science (Grade 4) Average Growth Index (AGI)	-4.51	0.00 out of 2.5 (0%)	INTERVENE	
PSSA Science (Grade 8) Average Growth Index (AGI)	-0.68	0.27 out of 2.5 (11%)	INTERVENE	
ACCESS for ELLs: % Growth in 60th Percentile or Above	ve	SEE NOTE FOR SY 2015-2016		
Progress, On Equ	ity			
PSSA Mathematics: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students	0.71	2.28 out of 4.0 (57%)	REINFORCE	
PSSA English Language Arts: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students	0.56	2.08 out of 4.0 (52%)	REINFORCE	
Progress Total:	70%	25.91 out of 37.0	REINFORCE	

^{*}Starting in School Year 2015-2016, the ACCESS assessment was revised. Growth estimates were not available and so this metric is being suppressed for School Year 2015-2016.

Climate

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
% of Students Attending 95% or More of Instructional Days	45% (577 students)	3.97 out of 12.0 (33%)	WATCH
% Attending 90% to 95% of Days	28% (577 students)	-	
% Attending 85% to 90% of Days	13% (577 students)	-	
% Attending 80% to 85% of Days	7% (577 students)	-	
% Attending Less than 80% of Days	7% (577 students)	-	
Annual Retention Rate	89% (523 students)	6.96 out of 10.0 (70%)	REINFORCE
% of Students with Zero In-School Suspensions	100% (577 students)	1.00 out of 1.0 (100%)	MODEL
% of Students with Zero Out-of-School Suspensions	96% (577 students)	3.17 out of 4.0 (79%)	MODEL
Student Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses)	64%	0.64 out of 1.0 (64%)	REINFORCE
Parent Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses)	0%	0.00 out of 1.0 (0%)	INTERVENE
Parent/Guardian Survey: Participation Rate	6%	0.00 out of 1.0 (0%)	INTERVENE

52%

Climate Total:

15.73

out of 30.0

REINFORCE

Educator Effectiveness

Teacher effectiveness measures are being described in the School Progress Report, but not included in the SPR rating, to share data we are gathering to monitor and support teacher practice. This information is also used to develop responsive and customized professional learning for teachers to ensure that all students have access to great teachers. Here are some important details to contextualize the teacher effectiveness data reported in the SPR.

- Teachers in a formal observation year who fail to receive a required observation receive a default observation score of 2 (Proficient) for any missing observation data, which may inflate the overall scores.
- Until district-wide inter-rater reliability norming is held for all principals, observation scores may vary significantly across schools and observers. As a result, comparisons of scores between schools may not be meaningful.
- The district's observation tool evaluates 10 components of the Danielson Framework for Teaching. Therefore, comparing SDPs observation scores to scores evaluated against all 22 components of the Danielson Framework may not be meaningful.

EDUCATOR EFFECTIVENESS INDICATORS

Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Effectiveness Rating of Distinguished (21 of 34 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	5%
Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Observation Score of Distinguished in Instruction (13 of 34 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	31%
Percentage of Teachers Attending 95% or More of Days	76%
Student Survey: Student Perception of Quality of Teacher Practice (% most positive responses)	62%