2015-2016 School Progress Report

Green Woods Charter School

School Code: W65 Sector: Charter

Address: 468 Domino Lane, 19128 Phone/Fax: 215-482-6337 / 215-482-9135 Website: www.greenwoodscharter.org Report Type: K8 School (K-8)

Peer Group: 8 Enrollment: 676

Admissions Category: Citywide With Criteria

Turnaround Model: N/A

Performance Tiers

■ INTERVENE (0-24%)

WATCH (25-49%)

■ REINFORCE (50-74%)

MODEL (75-100%)

2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 CITY RANK PEER RANK

OVERALL: 64% REINFORCE

A school's overall score represents its combined performance on the Achievement, Progress, Climate, and College & Career (for high schools only) domains.



18th 8th out of 138 out of 10

Achievement: 61% Reinforce

The Achievement domain measures performance on standardized assessments, including PSSA, Keystone Exams, ACCESS for ELLs, and reading assessments.



8th 6th out of 138 out of 10

Progress: 44% Watch

The Progress domain measures growth on standardized assessments and progress towards graduation (for high schools only).



72nd 9th out of 132 out of 10

Climate: 92% Model

The Climate domain measures school climate and student and parent/guardian engagement.



5th 2nd out of 140 out of 10

Achievement Total:	61%	17.46	REINFORCE
ACCESS for ELLs: % 4.5 or Above			*Data Not Available
% Advanced	49% (105 students)	0.50 out of 0.5 (100%)	MODEL
% Proficient or Advanced	83% (105 students)	2.36 out of 3.0 (79%)	MODEL
PSSA: Science			
% Advanced	18% (427 students)	1.44 out of 2.0 (72%)	REINFORCE
% Proficient or Advanced	44% (427 students)	2.70 out of 9.0 (30%)	WATCH
PSSA: Mathematics			
% Advanced	22% (427 students)	1.72 out of 2.0 (86%)	MODEL
% Proficient or Advanced	71% (427 students)	5.73 out of 9.0 (64%)	REINFORCE
PSSA: English Language Arts			
% Reading at Grade Level - Grades K-2	80% (242 students)	3.00 out of 3.0 (100%)	MODEL
METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
Achievement			

out of 28.5

METRIC METRIC SCORE POINTS EARNED TIER PSSA Mathematics Average Growth Index (AGI) PSSA English Language Arts Average Growth Index (AGI) PSSA Science (Grade 4) Average Growth Index (AGI) PSSA Science (Grade 8) Average Growth Index (AGI) ACCESS for ELLs: % Growth in 60th Percentile or Above Progress, On Equity PSSA Mathematics: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students D.98 2.64 out of 4.0 REINFORCE of Students	Progress Total:	44%	16.42 out of 37.0	WATCH
METRIC METRIC SCORE POINTS EARNED TIER PSSA Mathematics (AGI) -0.13 3.48 out of 12.0 (29%) PSSA English Language Arts (AGI) 0.18 4.72 out of 12.0 (39%) PSSA Science (Grade 4) (39%) 2.50 out of 2.5 (100%) PSSA Science (Grade 8) (100%) -1.36 0.00 out of 2.5 (100%) PROGRESS for ELLS: (100%) SEE NOTE FOR SY 2015-2016 Progress, On Equity PSSA Mathematics: (GI for Lowest-Performing 20%) 1.31 3.08 out of 4.0 MODEL	GI for Lowest-Performing 20%	0.98		REINFORCE
METRIC METRIC SCORE POINTS EARNED TIER SSA Mathematics (AGI) SSA English Language Arts (AGI) SSA English Language Arts (AGI) SSA Science (Grade 4) (AGI) SSA Science (Grade 4) (AGI) SSA Science (Grade 4) (AGI) SSA Science (Grade 8) (AGI) (AGI	GI for Lowest-Performing 20%	1.31		MODEL
METRIC METRIC SCORE POINTS EARNED TIER PSSA Mathematics Average Growth Index (AGI) PSSA English Language Arts Average Growth Index (AGI) PSSA Science (Grade 4) Average Growth Index (AGI) PSSA Science (Grade 4) Average Growth Index (AGI) PSSA Science (Grade 8) Average Growth Index (AGI)	Progress, On Equit	ty		
METRIC METRIC SCORE POINTS EARNED TIER SSA Mathematics (AGI) SSA English Language Arts (29%) SSA English Language Arts (29%) SSA Science (Grade 4) (SSA Science (Grade 4) (SSA Science (Grade 8)		2	SEE NOTE FOR S	SY 2015-2016
METRIC METRIC SCORE POINTS EARNED TIER PSSA Mathematics Average Growth Index (AGI) PSSA English Language Arts Average Growth Index (AGI) PSSA Science (Grade 4) PSSA Science (Grade 4) 2.08 PSSA Science (Grade 4) 2.08 DITER WATCH WATCH MODEL		-1.36		INTERVENE
METRIC METRIC SCORE POINTS EARNED TIER PSSA Mathematics verage Growth Index (AGI) PSSA English Language Arts verage Growth Index (AGI) 0.18 4.72 out of 12.0 WATCH		2.08		MODEL
METRIC METRIC SCORE POINTS EARNED TIER PSSA Mathematics -0.13 3.48 out of 12.0 WATCH		0.18		WATCH
		-0.13		WATCH
Progress	Progress METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER

^{*}Starting in School Year 2015-2016, the ACCESS assessment was revised. Growth estimates were not available and so this metric is being suppressed for School Year 2015-2016.

out of 29.0

_						
(:	ı	ı	m	а	Ť	ρ
•				u	•	•

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
% of Students Attending 95% or More of Instructional Days	74% (681 students)	11.62 out of 12.0 (97%)	MODEL
% Attending 90% to 95% of Days	23% (681 students)	-	
% Attending 85% to 90% of Days	3% (681 students)	-	
% Attending 80% to 85% of Days	1% (681 students)	-	
% Attending Less than 80% of Days	0% (681 students)	-	
Annual Retention Rate	95% (655 students)	9.98 out of 10.0 (100%)	MODEL
% of Students with Zero In-School Suspensions			*Not Applicable
% of Students with Zero Out-of-School Suspensions	97% (681 students)	3.38 out of 4.0 (85%)	MODEL
Student Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses)	76%	0.76 out of 1.0 (76%)	MODEL
Parent Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses)	92%	0.92 out of 1.0 (92%)	MODEL
Parent/Guardian Survey: Participation Rate	11%	0.03 out of 1.0 (3%)	INTERVENE
Climate Total:	92%	26.69	MODEL

Educator Effectiveness

Teacher effectiveness measures are being described in the School Progress Report, but not included in the SPR rating, to share data we are gathering to monitor and support teacher practice. This information is also used to develop responsive and customized professional learning for teachers to ensure that all students have access to great teachers. Here are some important details to contextualize the teacher effectiveness data reported in the SPR.

- Teachers in a formal observation year who fail to receive a required observation receive a default observation score of 2 (Proficient) for any missing observation data, which may inflate the overall scores.
- Until district-wide inter-rater reliability norming is held for all principals, observation scores may vary significantly across schools and observers. As a result, comparisons of scores between schools may not be meaningful.
- The district's observation tool evaluates 10 components of the Danielson Framework for Teaching. Therefore, comparing SDPs observation scores to scores evaluated against all 22 components of the Danielson Framework may not be meaningful.

EDUCATOR EFFECTIVENESS INDICATORS

Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Effectiveness Rating of Distinguished	*Data Not Available
Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Observation Score of Distinguished in Instruction	*Data Not Available
Percentage of Teachers Attending 95% or More of Days	*Data Not Available
Student Survey: Student Perception of Quality of Teacher Practice (% most positive responses)	64%