2015-2016 School Progress Report

Parkway Center City High School

School Code: 508 Sector: District

Address: 540 N. 13th St., 19123

Phone/Fax: 215-351-7095 / 215-351-7097

Website: www.philasd.org/schools/parkwaycc

Report Type: High School (9-12)

Peer Group: 3 Enrollment: 556

Admissions Category: Special Admit

Turnaround Model: N/A

Performance Tiers

■ INTERVENE (0-24%)

WATCH (25-49%)

■ REINFORCE (50-74%)

MODEL (75-100%)

2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 CITY RANK PEER RANK

OVERALL: 61% REINFORCE

A school's overall score represents its combined performance on the Achievement, Progress, Climate, and College & Career (for high schools only) domains.

61% 59% 57%

7th 2nd out of 83 out of 22

Achievement: 29% Watch

The Achievement domain measures performance on standardized assessments, including PSSA, Keystone Exams, ACCESS for ELLs, and reading assessments.



15th 1st out of 79 out of 19

Progress: 75% Model

The Progress domain measures growth on standardized assessments and progress towards graduation (for high schools only).



9th 4th out of 22 out of 83

Climate: 86% Model

The Climate domain measures school climate and student and parent/guardian engagement.



6th 1st out of 84 out of 21

College & Career: 63% Reinforce

The College & Career domain measures college and career readiness and post-secondary outcomes.



13th out of 77

3rd out of 20

Achievement			
METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
Keystone Exam - Algebra I			
% Proficient or Advanced	39% (229 students)	1.93 out of 8.0 (24%)	INTERVENE
% Advanced	13% (229 students)	0.76 out of 1.5 (51%)	REINFORCE
Keystone Exam - Biology			
% Proficient or Advanced	31% (223 students)	1.09 out of 8.0 (14%)	INTERVENE
% Advanced	4% (223 students)	0.22 out of 1.5 (14%)	INTERVENE
Keystone Exam - Literature			
% Proficient or Advanced	61% (217 students)	4.08 out of 8.0 (51%)	REINFORCE
% Advanced	2% (217 students)	0.11 out of 1.5 (7%)	INTERVENE
ACCESS for ELLs: % 4.5 or Above		*	nsufficient Sample

29%

Achievement Total:

8.19

out of 28.5

WATCH

Progress			
METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
Keystone Exam - Algebra I: Average Growth Index (AGI)	4.47	6.50 out of 6.5 (100%)	MODEL
Keystone Exam - Biology: Average Growth Index (AGI)	-1.13	0.00 out of 6.5 (0%)	INTERVENE
Keystone Exam - Literature: Average Growth Index (AGI)	3.19	6.50 out of 6.5 (100%)	MODEL
% of On-Track Students Earning Credits Required For Promotion	96% (388 students)	6.83 out of 8.0 (85%)	MODEL
% of Off-Track Students Earning Credits Required for Promotion		*Ir	nsufficient Sample
ACCESS for ELLs: % Growth in 60th Percentile or Ab	ove	SEE NOTE FOR S	SY 2015-2016
Progress, On Equ	ity		
Keystone Exam - Algebra I: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students	2.35	1.50 out of 1.5 (100%)	MODEL
Keystone Exam - Biology: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students	1.24	1.12 out of 1.5 (75%)	MODEL
Keystone Exam - Literature: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students	2.70	1.50 out of 1.5 (100%)	MODEL
Progress Total:	75%	23.95 out of 32.0	MODEL

^{*}Starting in School Year 2015-2016, the ACCESS assessment was revised. Growth estimates were not available and so this metric is being suppressed for School Year 2015-2016.

0	ı	:		~		_	4	_
C	ı	ı	I	I	ı	a	ι	e

60 Milote of Instructional Days (567 students) (94%) % Attending 90% to 95% of Days 18% (567 students) - % Attending 85% to 90% of Days 8% (567 students) - % Attending 80% to 85% of Days 4% (567 students) - % Attending Less than 80% of Days 3% (567 students) - Annual Retention Rate 90% (547 students) 6.00 out of (100%) MODEI % of Students with Zero In-School Suspensions 100% (567 students) 0.50 out of (100%) MODEI % of Students with Zero Out-of-School Suspensions 100% (567 students) 2.50 out of (100%) MODEI Student Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses) 64% 0.64 out of 1.0 REINFOR Parent Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses) 0% 0.00 out of 1.0 INTERVE	IC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
of Days (567 students) — % Attending 85% to 90% of Days 8% (567 students) — % Attending 80% to 85% of Days 4% (567 students) — % Attending Less than 80% of Days 3% (567 students) — Annual Retention Rate 90% (547 students) 6.00 out of (100%) 6.0 MODEL % of Students with Zero In-School Suspensions 100% (567 students) 0.50 out of (100%) 0.5 MODEL % of Students with Zero Out-of-School Suspensions 100% (567 students) 2.50 out of (100%) 2.5 MODEL Student Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses) 64% O.64 out of (64%) 1.0 REINFOR Parent Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses) 0% O.00 out of 1.0 INTERVE 1.0 INTERVE				MODEL
of Days (567 students) % Attending 80% to 85% of Days 4% (567 students) % Attending Less than 80% of Days 3% (567 students) Annual Retention Rate 90% (567 students) MODEL % of Students with Zero In-School Suspensions 100% (567 students) % of Students with Zero Out-of-School Suspensions 100% (567 students) % of Students with Zero Out-of-School Suspensions 100% (567 students) Student Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses) 64% (54%) Parent Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses) 0% (0.00 out of 1.0 INTERVE			-	
of Days (567 students) % Attending Less than 80% of Days 3% (567 students) Annual Retention Rate 90% (567 students) 6.00 out of (100%) 6.0 MODEL % of Students with Zero In-School Suspensions 100% (567 students) 0.50 out of (100%) MODEL % of Students with Zero Out-of-School Suspensions 100% (567 students) 2.50 out of (100%) MODEL % student Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses) 64% 0.64 out of (1.0 NEINFOR) NEINFOR Parent Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive Rating Rating (% most positive Rating Rating Rating Rating Rating Rating Rating Rating Rating			-	
Student Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses) Student Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses) 10% (567 students) 0.60 out of (100%) 0.50 out of (100%)			-	
% of Students with Zero In-School Suspensions 100% (567 students) 100% (567 students) 2.50 out of 2.5 MODEL 300% (567 students) 400% 500 out of 2.5 MODEL 400% 501 out of 2.5 MODEL 502 out of 2.5 MODEL 503 out of 2.5 MODEL 603 out of 2.5 MODEL 604% 605 out of 2.5 MODEL 606 out of 1.0 REINFOR 606 out of 1.0 NEINFOR 607 out of 1.0 NEINFOR 608 out of 1.0 NEINFOR			-	
MODEL (567 students) (567 students) (100%) MODEL (100%) Students with Zero (100%) Student Survey: School (2100%) Student Survey: School (2100%) MODEL (100%) NOTEL (100%) MODEL (100%) NOTEL (100%) MODEL	Retention Rate			MODEL
Out-of-School Suspensions (567 students) (100%) Student Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses) (64%) Parent Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive Rating (% most positiv				MODEL
Climate Rating (% most obt of 1.0 REINFOR (64%) Parent Survey: School Climate Rating (% most 0.00 out of 1.0 INTERVE				MODEL
Climate Rating (% most 0% 0.00 out of 1.0 INTERVE	Rating (% most	64%		REINFORCE
positive responses) (070)	Rating (% most	0%	0.00 out of 1.0 (0%)	INTERVENE
Parent/Guardian Survey: 8% 0.00 out of 1.0 INTERVE		8%		INTERVENE

86%

Climate Total:

17.16

out of 20.0

MODEL

College & Career			
METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate	95% (129 students)	3.66 out of 4.5 (81%)	MODEL
First-Fall College Matriculation Rate	74% (125 students)	1.33 out of 1.5 (88%)	MODEL
AP, IB & NOCTI Exams Participation & Performance	2% (124 students)	0.00 out of 1.0 (0%)	INTERVENE
% Participating, Not Meeting Threshold	54% (124 students)	-	
% Not Participating	44% (124 students)	-	
SAT & ACT Exams Participation & Performance	2% (124 students)	0.00 out of 1.0 (0%)	INTERVENE
% Participating, Not Meeting Threshold	94% (124 students)	-	
% Not Participating	3% (124 students)	-	
FAFSA Completion Rate	83% (124 students)	1.00 out of 1.0 (100%)	MODEL
Student Survey: College & Career Readiness Rating (% most positive responses)	29%	0.29 out of 1.0 (29%)	WATCH
College & Career Total:	63%	6.28	REINFORCE

out of 10.0

Educator Effectiveness

Teacher effectiveness measures are being described in the School Progress Report, but not included in the SPR rating, to share data we are gathering to monitor and support teacher practice. This information is also used to develop responsive and customized professional learning for teachers to ensure that all students have access to great teachers. Here are some important details to contextualize the teacher effectiveness data reported in the SPR.

- Teachers in a formal observation year who fail to receive a required observation receive a default observation score of 2 (Proficient) for any missing observation data, which may inflate the overall scores.
- Until district-wide inter-rater reliability norming is held for all principals, observation scores may vary significantly across schools and observers. As a result, comparisons of scores between schools may not be meaningful.
- The district's observation tool evaluates 10 components of the Danielson Framework for Teaching. Therefore, comparing SDPs observation scores to scores evaluated against all 22 components of the Danielson Framework may not be meaningful.

EDUCATOR EFFECTIVENESS INDICATORS

Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Effectiveness Rating of Distinguished (22 of 25 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	5%
Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Observation Score of Distinguished in Instruction (16 of 25 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	6%
Percentage of Teachers Attending 95% or More of Days	71%
Student Survey: Student Perception of Quality of Teacher Practice (% most positive responses)	54%