## 2015-2016 School Progress Report

# Parkway West High School

School Code: 509 Sector: District

Address: 4725 Fairmount Ave., 19139 Phone/Fax: 215-581-5510 / 215-581-5600

Website: www.philasd.org/schools/parkwaywest

Report Type: High School (9-12)

Peer Group: 3 Enrollment: 291

Admissions Category: Special Admit

Turnaround Model: N/A

#### Performance Tiers

■ INTERVENE (0-24%)

**WATCH (25-49%)** 

■ REINFORCE (50-74%)

MODEL (75-100%)

2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 CITY RANK PEER RANK

#### OVERALL: 32% WATCH


A school's overall score represents its combined performance on the Achievement, Progress, Climate, and College & Career (for high schools only) domains.



39th 11th out of 83 out of 22

### Achievement: 9% Intervene

The Achievement domain measures performance on standardized assessments, including PSSA, Keystone Exams, ACCESS for ELLs, and reading assessments.



31st 7th out of 19 out of 79

## Progress: 35% Watch

The Progress domain measures growth on standardized assessments and progress towards graduation (for high schools only).



31st 10th out of 22 out of 83

# Climate: 50% Reinforce

The Climate domain measures school climate and student and parent/guardian engagement.



48th 18th out of 84 out of 21

#### 50% Reinforce College & Career:

The College & Career domain measures college and career readiness and post-secondary outcomes.



27th out of 77

9th out of 20

Achievement			
METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
Keystone Exam - Algebra I			
% Proficient or Advanced	15% (166 students)	0.00 out of 8.0 (0%)	INTERVENE
% Advanced	2% (166 students)	0.14 out of 1.5 (10%)	INTERVENE
Keystone Exam - Biology			
% Proficient or Advanced	16% (98 students)	0.00 out of 8.0 (0%)	INTERVENE
% Advanced	1% (98 students)	0.06 out of 1.5 (4%)	INTERVENE
Keystone Exam - Literature			
% Proficient or Advanced	43% (67 students)	2.33 out of 8.0 (29%)	WATCH
% Advanced	0% (67 students)	0.00 out of 1.5 (0%)	INTERVENE
ACCESS for ELLs: % 4.5 or Above		*	Insufficient Sample

9%

**Achievement Total:** 

2.53

out of 28.5

**INTERVENE** 

Progress				
METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER	
Keystone Exam - Algebra I: Average Growth Index (AGI)	-0.70	0.65 out of 6.5 (10%)	INTERVENE	
Keystone Exam - Biology: Average Growth Index (AGI)	-0.37	1.37 out of 6.5 (21%)	INTERVENE	
Keystone Exam - Literature: Average Growth Index (AGI)	1.58	5.59 out of 6.5 (86%)	MODEL	
% of On-Track Students Earning Credits Required For Promotion	77% (168 students)	1.81 out of 8.0 (23%)	INTERVENE	
% of Off-Track Students Earning Credits Required for Promotion		* 1	nsufficient Sample	
ACCESS for ELLs: % Growth in 60th Percentile or Ab	bove SEE NOTE FOR SY 2015-2016			
Progress, On Equ	ity			
AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students	-0.86	0.07 out of 1.5 (5%)	INTERVENE	
Keystone Exam - Biology: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students	1.12	1.06 out of 1.5 (71%)	REINFORCE	
Keystone Exam - Literature: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students	0.16	0.58 out of 1.5 (39%)	WATCH	
Progress Total:	35%	11.13	WATCH	

out of 32.0

<sup>\*</sup>Starting in School Year 2015-2016, the ACCESS assessment was revised. Growth estimates were not available and so this metric is being suppressed for School Year 2015-2016.

0	ı	:		-		_	4	_
C	ı	ı	I	I	I	a	ι	е

METRIC		METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	POINTS EARNED TIER	
% of Students Attendi or More of Instruction		31% (311 students)	1.74 out of 8.0 (22%)	INTERVENE	
% Attending sof Days	90% to 95%	25% (311 students)	-		
% Attending of Days	85% to 90%	21% (311 students)	-		
% Attending of Days	80% to 85%	10% (311 students)	-		
% Attending I of Days	Less than 80%	14% (311 students)	-		
Annual Retention Rat	е	89% (279 students)	6.00 out of 6.0 (100%)	MODEL	
% of Students with Ze In-School Suspension		100% (311 students)	0.50 out of 0.5 (100%)	MODEL	
% of Students with Ze Out-of-School Suspen		83% (311 students)	1.11 out of 2.5 (44%)	WATCH	
Student Survey: Scho Climate Rating (% mo positive responses)		59%	0.59 out of 1.0 (59%)	REINFORCE	
Parent Survey: Schoo Climate Rating (% mo positive responses)		0%	0.00 out of 1.0 (0%)	INTERVENE	
Parent/Guardian Surv Participation Rate	ey:	1%	0.00 out of 1.0 (0%)	INTERVENE	

Climate Total:

**50%** 

9.94 out of 20.0

**REINFORCE** 

Colleg	ae	&	Ca	re	er
--------	----	---	----	----	----

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate	93% (87 students)	3.26 out of 4.5 (72%)	REINFORCE
First-Fall College Matriculation Rate	56% (82 students)	0.85 out of 1.5 (57%)	REINFORCE
AP, IB & NOCTI Exams Participation & Performance	0% (85 students)	0.00 out of 1.0 (0%)	INTERVENE
% Participating, Not Meeting Threshold	51% (85 students)	-	
% Not Participating	49% (85 students)	-	
SAT & ACT Exams Participation & Performance	1% (85 students)	0.00 out of 1.0 (0%)	INTERVENE
% Participating, Not Meeting Threshold	71% (85 students)	-	
% Not Participating	28% (85 students)	-	
FAFSA Completion Rate	55% (85 students)	0.61 out of 1.0 (61%)	REINFORCE
Student Survey: College & Career Readiness Rating (% most positive responses)	27%	0.27 out of 1.0 (27%)	WATCH

College & Career Total:

**50%** 

**4.98** out of **10.0** 

**REINFORCE** 

# **Educator Effectiveness**

Teacher effectiveness measures are being described in the School Progress Report, but not included in the SPR rating, to share data we are gathering to monitor and support teacher practice. This information is also used to develop responsive and customized professional learning for teachers to ensure that all students have access to great teachers. Here are some important details to contextualize the teacher effectiveness data reported in the SPR.

- Teachers in a formal observation year who fail to receive a required observation receive a default observation score of 2 (Proficient) for any missing observation data, which may inflate the overall scores.
- Until district-wide inter-rater reliability norming is held for all principals, observation scores may vary significantly across schools and observers. As a result, comparisons of scores between schools may not be meaningful.
- The district's observation tool evaluates 10 components of the Danielson Framework for Teaching. Therefore, comparing SDPs observation scores to scores evaluated against all 22 components of the Danielson Framework may not be meaningful.

#### **EDUCATOR EFFECTIVENESS INDICATORS**

Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Effectiveness Rating of Distinguished (12 of 18 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	0%
Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Observation Score of Distinguished in Instruction (12 of 18 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	8%
Percentage of Teachers Attending 95% or More of Days	82%
Student Survey: Student Perception of Quality of Teacher Practice (% most positive responses)	41%