## 2015-2016 School Progress Report

# **Spring Garden School**

School Code: 556 Sector: District

Address: 1146 Melon St., 19123

Phone/Fax: 215-684-5070 / 215-684-5059 Website: www.philasd.org/schools/springgarden

Report Type: K8 School (K-8)

Peer Group: 2 Enrollment: 281

Admissions Category: Neighborhood

Turnaround Model: N/A

#### Performance Tiers

■ INTERVENE (0-24%)

WATCH (25-49%)

■ REINFORCE (50-74%)

MODEL (75-100%)

2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 CITY RANK PEER RANK

#### **OVERALL: 54% REINFORCE**

A school's overall score represents its combined performance on the Achievement, Progress, Climate, and College & Career (for high schools only) domains.



33rd out of 138 2nd out of 20

#### Achievement: 16% Intervene

The Achievement domain measures performance on standardized assessments, including PSSA, Keystone Exams, ACCESS for ELLs, and reading assessments.



58th out of 138 2nd out of 20

### Progress: 87% Model


The Progress domain measures growth on standardized assessments and progress towards graduation (for high schools only).



7th out of 132 1st out of 19

### Climate: 62% Reinforce

The Climate domain measures school climate and student and parent/guardian engagement.



52nd out of 140 2nd out of 21

Ac	hi	ie	ve	m	eı	nt
, ,,		-	• •		~ .	

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
% Reading at Grade Level Grades K-2	54% (87 students)	1.74 out of 3.0 (58%)	REINFORCE
PSSA: English Language Arts			
% Proficient or Advanced	26% (174 students)	0.72 out of 9.0 (8%)	INTERVENE
% Advanced	3% (174 students)	0.28 out of 2.0 (14%)	INTERVENE
PSSA: Mathematics			
% Proficient or Advanced	9% (177 students)	0.00 out of 9.0 (0%)	INTERVENE
% Advanced	3% (177 students)	0.23 out of 2.0 (11%)	INTERVENE
PSSA: Science			
% Proficient or Advanced	24% (67 students)	0.15 out of 3.0 (5%)	INTERVENE
% Advanced	3% (67 students)	0.06 out of 0.5 (12%)	INTERVENE
ACCESS for ELLs: % 4.5 or Above	32% (28 students)	1.50 out of 1.5 (100%)	MODEL

**Achievement Total:** 

16%

**4.67** out of **30.0** 

**INTERVENE** 

Progress			
METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
PSSA Mathematics Average Growth Index (AGI)	4.52	12.00 out of 12.0 (100%)	MODEL
PSSA English Language Arts Average Growth Index (AGI)	3.22	12.00 out of 12.0 (100%)	MODEL
PSSA Science (Grade 4) Average Growth Index (AGI)	0.56	1.30 out of 2.5 (52%)	REINFORCE
PSSA Science (Grade 8) Average Growth Index (AGI)	-1.67	0.00 out of 2.5 (0%)	INTERVENE
ACCESS for ELLs: % Growth in 60th Percentile or Abov	re	SEE NOTE FOR SY 2015-2016	
Progress, On Equi	ty		
PSSA Mathematics: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students		*In	sufficient Sample
PSSA English Language Arts: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students		*In	sufficient Sample

87% **MODEL Progress Total:** out of 29.0

25.30

<sup>\*</sup>Starting in School Year 2015-2016, the ACCESS assessment was revised. Growth estimates were not available and so this metric is being suppressed for School Year 2015-2016.

# Climate

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
% of Students Attending 95% or More of Instructional Days	54% (301 students)	6.35 out of 12.0 (53%)	REINFORCE
% Attending 90% to 95% of Days	24% (301 students)	-	
% Attending 85% to 90% of Days	14% (301 students)	-	
% Attending 80% to 85% of Days	5% (301 students)	-	
% Attending Less than 80% of Days	4% (301 students)	-	
Annual Retention Rate	90% (274 students)	7.39 out of 10.0 (74%)	REINFORCE
% of Students with Zero In-School Suspensions	100% (301 students)	1.00 out of 1.0 (100%)	MODEL
% of Students with Zero Out-of-School Suspensions	91% (301 students)	2.21 out of 4.0 (55%)	REINFORCE
Student Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses)	60%	0.60 out of 1.0 (60%)	REINFORCE
Parent Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses)	79%	0.79 out of 1.0 (79%)	MODEL
Parent/Guardian Survey: Participation Rate	17%	0.19 out of 1.0 (19%)	INTERVENE

Climate Total:

62% 18.52 out of 30.0

**REINFORCE** 

# **Educator Effectiveness**

Teacher effectiveness measures are being described in the School Progress Report, but not included in the SPR rating, to share data we are gathering to monitor and support teacher practice. This information is also used to develop responsive and customized professional learning for teachers to ensure that all students have access to great teachers. Here are some important details to contextualize the teacher effectiveness data reported in the SPR.

- Teachers in a formal observation year who fail to receive a required observation receive a default observation score of 2 (Proficient) for any missing observation data, which may inflate the overall scores.
- Until district-wide inter-rater reliability norming is held for all principals, observation scores may vary significantly across schools and observers. As a result, comparisons of scores between schools may not be meaningful.
- The district's observation tool evaluates 10 components of the Danielson Framework for Teaching. Therefore, comparing SDPs observation scores to scores evaluated against all 22 components of the Danielson Framework may not be meaningful.

#### **EDUCATOR EFFECTIVENESS INDICATORS**

Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Effectiveness Rating of Distinguished (15 of 18 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	7%
Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Observation Score of Distinguished in Instruction (8 of 18 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	0%
Percentage of Teachers Attending 95% or More of Days	58%
Student Survey: Student Perception of Quality of Teacher Practice (% most positive responses)	59%