2015-2016 School Progress Report

Central High School

School Code: 601 Sector: District

Address: 1700 W. Olney Ave., 19141 Phone/Fax: 215-276-5262 / 215-276-4721

Website: www.centralhigh.net

Report Type: High School (9-12)

Peer Group: 5 Enrollment: 2,244

Admissions Category: Special Admit

Turnaround Model: N/A

Performance Tiers

■ INTERVENE (0-24%)

WATCH (25-49%)

■ REINFORCE (50-74%)

MODEL (75-100%)

2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 CITY RANK PEER RANK

OVERALL: 82% MODEL

A school's overall score represents its combined performance on the Achievement, Progress, Climate, and College & Career (for high schools only) domains.



2nd 2nd out of 83 out of 10

Achievement: 91% Model

The Achievement domain measures performance on standardized assessments, including PSSA, Keystone Exams, ACCESS for ELLs, and reading assessments.



2nd 2nd out of 79 out of 10

Progress: 68% Reinforce

The Progress domain measures growth on standardized assessments and progress towards graduation (for high schools only).



11th 3rd out of 83 out of 10

Climate: 91% Model

The Climate domain measures school climate and student and parent/guardian engagement.



3rd 2nd out of 84 out of 10

College & Career: 90% Model

The College & Career domain measures college and career readiness and post-secondary outcomes.



2nd out of 77

2nd out of 9

Achievement			
METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
Keystone Exam - Algebra I			
% Proficient or Advanced	89% (351 students)	6.92 out of 8.0 (86%)	MODEL
% Advanced	56% (351 students)	1.50 out of 1.5 (100%)	MODEL
Keystone Exam - Biology			
% Proficient or Advanced	94% (610 students)	7.41 out of 8.0 (93%)	MODEL
% Advanced	61% (610 students)	1.50 out of 1.5 (100%)	MODEL
Keystone Exam - Literature			
% Proficient or Advanced	97% (404 students)	7.65 out of 8.0 (96%)	MODEL
% Advanced	15% (404 students)	0.91 out of 1.5 (60%)	REINFORCE
ACCESS for ELLs: % 4.5 or Above		*11	nsufficient Sample

Achievement Total: 91% 25.89 MODEL out of 28.5

Progress	

% of Off-Track Students Earning Credits Required for Promotion	95% (41 students)	6.00 out of 6.0 (100%)	MODEL
% of On-Track Students Earning Credits Required For Promotion	98% (1675 students)	7.59 out of 8.0 (95%)	MODEL
Keystone Exam - Literature: Average Growth Index (AGI)	-5.30	0.00 out of 6.5 (0%)	INTERVENE
Keystone Exam - Biology: Average Growth Index (AGI)	2.22	6.50 out of 6.5 (100%)	MODEL
Keystone Exam - Algebra I: Average Growth Index (AGI)	0.86	4.03 out of 6.5 (62%)	REINFORCE
METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER

Progress, On Equity

Keystone Exam - Algebra I: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students	-2.20	0.00 out of 1.5 (0%)	INTERVENE
Keystone Exam - Biology: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students	0.14	0.57 out of 1.5 (38%)	WATCH
Keystone Exam - Literature: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students	1.05	1.03 out of 1.5 (68%)	REINFORCE

Progress Total: 68% 25.71 REINFORCE out of 38.0

^{*}Starting in School Year 2015-2016, the ACCESS assessment was revised. Growth estimates were not available and so this metric is being suppressed for School Year 2015-2016.

0	ı	:		-		_	4	_
C	ı	ı	I	I	I	a	ι	е

METRIC % of Students Attending 95% or More of Instructional Days		METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	7.67 out of 8.0 MODEL (96%)	
		68% (2247 students)			
	% Attending 90% to 95% of Days	22% (2247 students)	-		
	% Attending 85% to 90% of Days	6% (2247 students)	-		
	% Attending 80% to 85% of Days	2% (2247 students)	-		
	% Attending Less than 80% of Days	3% (2247 students)	-		
Annual Retention Rate		97% (2231 students)	6.00 out of 6.0 (100%)	MODEL	
	Students with Zero nool Suspensions	100% (2247 students)	0.50 out of 0.5 (100%)	MODEL	
	Students with Zero f-School Suspensions	100% (2247 students)	2.49 out of 2.5 (100%)	MODEL	
Clima	nt Survey: School te Rating (% most ve responses)	66%	0.66 out of 1.0 (66%)	REINFORCE	
Clima	t Survey: School te Rating (% most ve responses)	82%	0.82 out of 1.0 (82%)	MODEL	
	t/Guardian Survey: ipation Rate	12%	0.04 out of 1.0 (4%)	INTERVENE	

91%

Climate Total:

18.19

out of 20.0

MODEL

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate	100% (483 students)	4.46 out of 4.5 (99%)	MODEL
First-Fall College Matriculation Rate	90% (482 students)	1.50 out of 1.5 (100%)	MODEL
AP, IB & NOCTI Exams Participation & Performance	44% (490 students)	0.85 out of 1.0 (85%)	MODEL
% Participating, Not Meeting Threshold	17% (490 students)	-	
% Not Participating	39% (490 students)	-	
SAT & ACT Exams Participation & Performance	68% (490 students)	1.00 out of 1.0 (100%)	MODEL
% Participating, Not Meeting Threshold	29% (490 students)	-	
% Not Participating	3% (490 students)	-	
FAFSA Completion Rate	73% (490 students)	0.97 out of 1.0 (97%)	MODEL
Student Survey: College & Career Readiness Rating (% most positive responses)	24%	0.24 out of 1.0 (24%)	INTERVENE

College & Career Total: 90% 9.01 MODEL out of 10.0

Educator Effectiveness

Teacher effectiveness measures are being described in the School Progress Report, but not included in the SPR rating, to share data we are gathering to monitor and support teacher practice. This information is also used to develop responsive and customized professional learning for teachers to ensure that all students have access to great teachers. Here are some important details to contextualize the teacher effectiveness data reported in the SPR.

- Teachers in a formal observation year who fail to receive a required observation receive a default observation score of 2 (Proficient) for any missing observation data, which may inflate the overall scores.
- Until district-wide inter-rater reliability norming is held for all principals, observation scores may vary significantly across schools and observers. As a result, comparisons of scores between schools may not be meaningful.
- The district's observation tool evaluates 10 components of the Danielson Framework for Teaching. Therefore, comparing SDPs observation scores to scores evaluated against all 22 components of the Danielson Framework may not be meaningful.

EDUCATOR EFFECTIVENESS INDICATORS

Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Effectiveness Rating of Distinguished (78 of 104 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	27%
Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Observation Score of Distinguished in Instruction (54 of 104 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	24%
Percentage of Teachers Attending 95% or More of Days	86%
Student Survey: Student Perception of Quality of Teacher Practice (% most positive responses)	59%