### 2015-2016 School Progress Report

# Academy for the Middle Years at Northwest

School Code: 648 Sector: District

Address: 6000 Ridge Ave., 19128

Phone/Fax: 215-487-7600 / 215-487-7505

Website: www.philasd.org/schools/amynw

Report Type: Middle School (6-8)

Peer Group: 2 Enrollment: 261

Admissions Category: Special Admit

Turnaround Model: N/A

#### Performance Tiers

■ INTERVENE (0-24%)

**WATCH (25-49%)** 

■ REINFORCE (50-74%)

MODEL (75-100%)

2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 CITY RANK PEER RANK

#### **OVERALL: 67% REINFORCE**


A school's overall score represents its combined performance on the Achievement, Progress, Climate, and College & Career (for high schools only) domains.



6th 3rd out of 37 out of 19

#### Achievement: 36% Watch

The Achievement domain measures performance on standardized assessments, including PSSA, Keystone Exams, ACCESS for ELLs, and reading assessments.



6th 3rd out of 37 out of 19

## Progress: 83% Model

The Progress domain measures growth on standardized assessments and progress towards graduation (for high schools only).



3rd 2nd out of 34 out of 19

## Climate: 77% Model

The Climate domain measures school climate and student and parent/guardian engagement.



9th 6th out of 36 out of 18

Achievement Total:	36%	10.29	WATCH
ACCESS for ELLs: % 4.5 or Above		*Ins	ufficient Sample
% Advanced	14% (84 students)	0.29 out of 0.5 (57%)	REINFORCE
% Proficient or Advanced	58% (84 students)	1.92 out of 4.0 (48%)	WATCH
PSSA: Science			
% Advanced	4% (243 students)	0.33 out of 2.0 (16%)	INTERVENE
% Proficient or Advanced	28% (243 students)	0.95 out of 10.0 (9%)	INTERVENE
SSA: Mathematics			
% Advanced	9% (244 students)	0.75 out of 2.0 (38%)	WATCH
% Proficient or Advanced	68% (244 students)	6.06 out of 10.0 (61%)	REINFORCE
PSSA: English Language Arts			
METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
Achievement			

out of 28.5

P	ro	a	r۵	SS
	ıv	У	10	33

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
PSSA Mathematics Average Growth Index (AGI)	4.65	12.00 out of 12.0 (100%)	MODEL
PSSA English Language Arts Average Growth Index (AGI)	1.97	11.88 out of 12.0 (99%)	MODEL
PSSA Science (Grade 8) Average Growth Index (AGI)	-0.24	1.27 out of 5.0 (25%)	WATCH
ACCESS for ELLs: % Growth in 60th Percentile or Above		SEE NOTE FOR SY	2015-2016

# **Progress, On Equity**

PSSA Mathematics: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students	1.95	3.93	out of (98%)	4.0	MODEL
PSSA English Language Arts: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20% of Students	0.28	1.71	out of (43%)	4.0	WATCH

Progress Total: 83% 30.79 MODEL out of 37.0

<sup>\*</sup>Starting in School Year 2015-2016, the ACCESS assessment was revised. Growth estimates were not available and so this metric is being suppressed for School Year 2015-2016.

out of 30.0

# Climate

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
% of Students Attending 95% or More of Instructional Days	59% (265 students)	8.66 out of 12.0 (72%)	REINFORCE
% Attending 90% to 95% of Days	25% (265 students)	-	
% Attending 85% to 90% of Days	9% (265 students)	-	
% Attending 80% to 85% of Days	2% (265 students)	-	
% Attending Less than 80% of Days	5% (265 students)	-	
Annual Retention Rate	93% (252 students)	9.13 out of 10.0 (91%)	MODEL
% of Students with Zero In-School Suspensions	98% (265 students)	0.85 out of 1.0 (85%)	MODEL
% of Students with Zero Out-of-School Suspensions	93% (265 students)	3.09 out of 4.0 (77%)	MODEL
Student Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses)	57%	0.57 out of 1.0 (57%)	REINFORCE
Parent Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses)	89%	0.89 out of 1.0 (89%)	MODEL
Parent/Guardian Survey: Participation Rate	12%	0.06 out of 1.0 (6%)	INTERVENE
Climate Total:	77%	23.25	MODEL

77%

### **Educator Effectiveness**

Teacher effectiveness measures are being described in the School Progress Report, but not included in the SPR rating, to share data we are gathering to monitor and support teacher practice. This information is also used to develop responsive and customized professional learning for teachers to ensure that all students have access to great teachers. Here are some important details to contextualize the teacher effectiveness data reported in the SPR.

- Teachers in a formal observation year who fail to receive a required observation receive a default observation score of 2 (Proficient) for any missing observation data, which may inflate the overall scores.
- Until district-wide inter-rater reliability norming is held for all principals, observation scores may vary significantly across schools and observers. As a result, comparisons of scores between schools may not be meaningful.
- The district's observation tool evaluates 10 components of the Danielson Framework for Teaching. Therefore, comparing SDPs observation scores to scores evaluated against all 22 components of the Danielson Framework may not be meaningful.

#### **EDUCATOR EFFECTIVENESS INDICATORS**

Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Effectiveness Rating of Distinguished (9 of 13 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	11%
Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Observation Score of Distinguished in Instruction (4 of 13 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	25%
Percentage of Teachers Attending 95% or More of Days	79%
Student Survey: Student Perception of Quality of Teacher Practice (% most positive responses)	53%