## 2015-2016 School Progress Report

#### Woodrow Wilson School

School Code: 812 Sector: District

Address: 1800 Cottman Ave., 19111 Phone/Fax: 215-728-5015 / 215-728-5051

Website: www.philasd.org/schools/wilson

Report Type: Middle School (6-8)

Peer Group: 1 Enrollment: 1,187

Admissions Category: Neighborhood

Turnaround Model: N/A

#### Performance Tiers

■ INTERVENE (0-24%)

**WATCH (25-49%)** 

■ REINFORCE (50-74%)

MODEL (75-100%)

2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 CITY RANK PEER RANK

#### **OVERALL: 25% WATCH**

A school's overall score represents its combined performance on the Achievement, Progress, Climate, and College & Career (for high schools only) domains.




21st 6th out of 37 out of 14

3rd

out of 14


#### Achievement: 19% Intervene

The Achievement domain measures performance on standardized assessments, including PSSA, Keystone Exams, ACCESS for ELLs, and reading assessments.



## Progress: 2% Intervene

The Progress domain measures growth on standardized assessments and progress towards graduation (for high schools only).



31st 11th out of 12 out of 34

## Climate: 59% Reinforce

The Climate domain measures school climate and student and parent/guardian engagement.



14th 1st out of 36 out of 14

Achievement			
METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
PSSA: English Language Arts			
% Proficient or Advanced	39% (1074 students)	2.41 out of 10.0 (24%)	INTERVENE
% Advanced	8% (1074 students)	0.63 out of 2.0 (32%)	WATCH
PSSA: Mathematics			
% Proficient or Advanced	19% (1077 students)	0.00 out of 10.0 (0%)	INTERVENE
% Advanced	4% (1077 students)	0.32 out of 2.0 (16%)	INTERVENE
PSSA: Science			
% Proficient or Advanced	32% (375 students)	0.60 out of 4.0 (15%)	INTERVENE
% Advanced	13% (375 students)	0.27 out of 0.5 (53%)	REINFORCE
ACCESS for ELLs: % 4.5 or Above	37% (200 students)	1.50 out of 1.5 (100%)	MODEL
Achievement Total:	19%	<b>5.73</b> out of <b>30.0</b>	INTERVENE

**INTERVENE** 

Progress Total:

CORE POINTS EARNED TIER
0.00 out of 12.0 INTERVENE (0%)
0.00 out of 12.0 INTERVENE (0%)
0.00 out of 5.0 INTERVENE (0%)
SEE NOTE FOR SY 2015-2016
0.00 out of 4.0
(0%) INTERVENE
_

2%

0.79

out of 37.0

<sup>\*</sup>Starting in School Year 2015-2016, the ACCESS assessment was revised. Growth estimates were not available and so this metric is being suppressed for School Year 2015-2016.

# Climate

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
% of Students Attending 95% or More of Instructional Days	55% (1282 students)	7.55 out of 12.0 (63%)	REINFORCE
% Attending 90% to 95% of Days	24% (1282 students)	-	
% Attending 85% to 90% of Days	11% (1282 students)	-	
% Attending 80% to 85% of Days	4% (1282 students)	-	
% Attending Less than 80% of Days	5% (1282 students)	-	
Annual Retention Rate	90% (1147 students)	7.45 out of 10.0 (74%)	REINFORCE
% of Students with Zero n-School Suspensions	97% (1282 students)	0.74 out of 1.0 (74%)	REINFORCE
% of Students with Zero Out-of-School Suspensions	85% (1282 students)	2.05 out of 4.0 (51%)	REINFORCE
Student Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses)	0%	0.00 out of 1.0 (0%)	INTERVENE
Parent Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses)	0%	0.00 out of 1.0 (0%)	INTERVENE
Parent/Guardian Survey: Participation Rate	3%	0.00 out of 1.0 (0%)	INTERVENE

Climate Total: 59%

17.78 out of 30.0

**REINFORCE** 

# **Educator Effectiveness**

Teacher effectiveness measures are being described in the School Progress Report, but not included in the SPR rating, to share data we are gathering to monitor and support teacher practice. This information is also used to develop responsive and customized professional learning for teachers to ensure that all students have access to great teachers. Here are some important details to contextualize the teacher effectiveness data reported in the SPR.

- Teachers in a formal observation year who fail to receive a required observation receive a default observation score of 2 (Proficient) for any missing observation data, which may inflate the overall scores.
- Until district-wide inter-rater reliability norming is held for all principals, observation scores may vary significantly across schools and observers. As a result, comparisons of scores between schools may not be meaningful.
- The district's observation tool evaluates 10 components of the Danielson Framework for Teaching. Therefore, comparing SDPs observation scores to scores evaluated against all 22 components of the Danielson Framework may not be meaningful.

#### **EDUCATOR EFFECTIVENESS INDICATORS**

Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Effectiveness Rating of Distinguished (47 of 63 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	2%
Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Observation Score of Distinguished in Instruction (35 of 63 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	11%
Percentage of Teachers Attending 95% or More of Days	43%
Student Survey: Student Perception of Quality of Teacher Practice (% most positive responses)	0%