### 2015-2016 School Progress Report

#### Rhawnhurst School

School Code: 836 Sector: District

Address: 7809 Castor Ave., 19152 Phone/Fax: 215-728-5013 / 215-728-5931 Website: www.philasd.org/schools/rhawnhurst Report Type: Elementary School (K-5)

Peer Group: 3 Enrollment: 614

Admissions Category: Neighborhood

Turnaround Model: N/A

#### Performance Tiers

■ INTERVENE (0-24%)

WATCH (25-49%)

■ REINFORCE (50-74%)

MODEL (75-100%)

2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 CITY RANK PEER RANK

#### **OVERALL: 69% REINFORCE**

A school's overall score represents its combined performance on the Achievement, Progress, Climate, and College & Career (for high schools only) domains.



4th 1st out of 60 out of 16

#### Achievement: 34% Watch

The Achievement domain measures performance on standardized assessments, including PSSA, Keystone Exams, ACCESS for ELLs, and reading assessments.



11th 4th out of 60 out of 16

### Progress: 86% Model


The Progress domain measures growth on standardized assessments and progress towards graduation (for high schools only).



1st 1st out of 59 out of 16

## Climate: 84% Model

The Climate domain measures school climate and student and parent/guardian engagement.



7th 3rd out of 62 out of 16

Achievement			
METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
% Reading at Grade Level Grades K-2	57% (297 students)	2.37 out of 3.0 (79%)	MODEL
PSSA: English Language Arts			
% Proficient or Advanced	37% (278 students)	1.92 out of 9.0 (21%)	INTERVENE
% Advanced	6% (278 students)	0.49 out of 2.0 (24%)	INTERVENE
PSSA: Mathematics			
% Proficient or Advanced	33% (281 students)	1.47 out of 9.0 (16%)	INTERVENE
% Advanced	9% (281 students)	0.71 out of 2.0 (36%)	WATCH
PSSA: Science			
% Proficient or Advanced	57% (94 students)	1.40 out of 3.0 (47%)	WATCH
% Advanced	10% (94 students)	0.19 out of 0.5 (38%)	WATCH
ACCESS for ELLs: % 4.5 or Above	34% (194 students)	1.50 out of 1.5 (100%)	MODEL
Achievement Total:	34%	10.06 out of 30.0	WATCH

out of 30.0

METRIC METRIC SCORE POINTS EARNED TIER  PSSA Mathematics Average Growth Index (AGI)  PSSA English Language Arts Average Growth Index (AGI)  PSSA Science (Grade 4) Average Growth Index (AGI)  ACCESS for ELLs: % Growth in 60th Percentile or Above  PSSA Mathematics: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20%  of Students  METRIC SCORE  POINTS EARNED  TIER  MODEL  MODEL	Progress Total:	86%	<b>31.81</b> out of <b>37.0</b>	MODEL
METRIC METRIC SCORE POINTS EARNED TIER  PSSA Mathematics Average Growth Index (AGI)  PSSA English Language Arts Average Growth Index (AGI)  PSSA English Language Arts Average Growth Index (AGI)  PSSA Science (Grade 4)  Average Growth Index (AGI)  PSSA Science (Grade 4)  Average Growth Index (AGI)  PSSA Science (Grade 4)  Average Growth Index (AGI)  PTOGRESS, On Equity  PSSA Mathematics:  AGI for Lowest-Performing 20%  4.00  4.00  MODEL	GI for Lowest-Performing 20%	1.86		MODEL
METRIC METRIC SCORE POINTS EARNED TIER  PSSA Mathematics Average Growth Index (AGI)  PSSA English Language Arts Average Growth Index (AGI)  PSSA Science (Grade 4) Average Growth Index (AGI)  Average Growth Index (AGI)  PSSA Science (Grade 4) Average Growth Index (AGI)  Average Growth Index (AGI)  PSSA Science (Grade 4) Average Growth Index (AGI)  SEE NOTE FOR SY 2015-2016	PSSA Mathematics: AGI for Lowest-Performing 20%			MODEL
METRIC METRIC SCORE POINTS EARNED TIER  PSSA Mathematics Average Growth Index (AGI)  PSSA English Language Arts Average Growth Index (AGI)  4.43  12.00 out of 12.0 MODEL  MODEL  PSSA Science (Grade 4)  -1.14  0.00 out of 5.0 INTERVENE	% Growth in 60th Percentile or Above		SEE NOTE FOR S	SY 2015-2016
METRIC METRIC SCORE POINTS EARNED TIER  PSSA Mathematics Average Growth Index (AGI)  PSSA English Language Arts Average Growth Index (AGI)  4.43  12.00 out of 12.0  MODEL  MODEL		-1.14		INTERVENE
METRIC METRIC SCORE POINTS EARNED TIER  PSSA Mathematics  9.50 12.00 out of 12.0 MODEL		4.43		MODEL
		9.50		MODEL
FIGURESS	Progress METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER

<sup>\*</sup>Starting in School Year 2015-2016, the ACCESS assessment was revised. Growth estimates were not available and so this metric is being suppressed for School Year 2015-2016.

# Climate

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
% of Students Attending 95% or More of Instructional Days	68% (636 students)	12.00 out of 12.0 (100%)	MODEL
% Attending 90% to 95% of Days	23% (636 students)	-	
% Attending 85% to 90% of Days	6% (636 students)	-	
% Attending 80% to 85% of Days	1% (636 students)	-	
% Attending Less than 80% of Days	1% (636 students)	-	
Annual Retention Rate	86% (580 students)	7.35 out of 10.0 (74%)	REINFORCE
% of Students with Zero In-School Suspensions	100% (636 students)	1.00 out of 1.0 (100%)	MODEL
% of Students with Zero Out-of-School Suspensions	100% (636 students)	4.00 out of 4.0 (100%)	MODEL
Student Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses)	70%	0.70 out of 1.0 (70%)	REINFORCE
Parent Survey: School Climate Rating (% most positive responses)	0%	0.00 out of 1.0 (0%)	INTERVENE
Parent/Guardian Survey: Participation Rate	5%	0.00 out of 1.0 (0%)	INTERVENE
Climate Total:	84%	25.05	MODEL

out of 30.0

# **Educator Effectiveness**

Teacher effectiveness measures are being described in the School Progress Report, but not included in the SPR rating, to share data we are gathering to monitor and support teacher practice. This information is also used to develop responsive and customized professional learning for teachers to ensure that all students have access to great teachers. Here are some important details to contextualize the teacher effectiveness data reported in the SPR.

- Teachers in a formal observation year who fail to receive a required observation receive a default observation score of 2 (Proficient) for any missing observation data, which may inflate the overall scores.
- Until district-wide inter-rater reliability norming is held for all principals, observation scores may vary significantly across schools and observers. As a result, comparisons of scores between schools may not be meaningful.
- The district's observation tool evaluates 10 components of the Danielson Framework for Teaching. Therefore, comparing SDPs observation scores to scores evaluated against all 22 components of the Danielson Framework may not be meaningful.

#### **EDUCATOR EFFECTIVENESS INDICATORS**

Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Effectiveness Rating of Distinguished (21 of 31 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	5%
Percentage of Teachers Receiving an Observation Score of Distinguished in Instruction (16 of 31 teachers in this school were in a formal observation year)	31%
Percentage of Teachers Attending 95% or More of Days	85%
Student Survey: Student Perception of Quality of Teacher Practice (% most positive responses)	71%